

From: LISAP JACKSON
To: CONETTA-BENNY
Date: 1/5/99 8:52am
Subject: Diamonhead -Reply

hi benny,

the parapgraph is fine and accurate. two things - the parties who contacted us are the current property owners who (by definition) are prps. if you choose, instead of saying "the prp" you could say "one prp (the current owner who is interested in devloping the site)"...i would use prps instead of rps.

thanks

>>> BEN CONETTA 01/04/99 03:08pm >>>

Hi Lisa. Sorry to be a bother on this, but I am responding to a letter from sue boyle of the dep. it ask specifically about this site. below is a paragraph i was including as part of our response. Can you please take a look at it and make any suggestions as to what needs to be changed. One question is: where the people who contacted us the prp's or an interested developer....i thought they were the prp's but i want to make sure.....Thanks.....

The case concerning the Diamondhead site is a bit more confusing. It is not clear why the responsible parties would have contacted the DEP to initiate oversight of remedial activities. The responsible parties for the Diamondhead site had initially contacted EPA in 1997 to initiate an investigation and potential remediation of the site in lieu of NPL listing. It was understood at that time that the DEP had referred the site to EPA and that EPA was now the lead agency. Initial contact was made by the responsible party at that time and a meeting was held. Subsequently, however, activity and contact by the responsible party ceased. Contact between the responsible party and EPA has recently been re-initiated. A draft Administrative Order on Consent has been forwarded to the rp's and their attorney. Should negotiations not be completed to our satisfaction by mid-February, EPA intends to re-activate the listing process for the site.

CC: diaz-cotto-grisell, cpeterse

299656



From: LISAP JACKSON
To: CONETTA-BENNY
Date: 1/5/99 10:38am
Subject: Diamonhead -Reply -Reply -Reply

yup

>>> BEN CONETTA 01/05/99 09:06am >>>

Thanks Lisa. Last question, are they the same people who contacted us initially?

CC: diaz-cotto-grisell